Rotating thermal convection under generalised quasilinear approximations

Curtis J. Saxton¹, J. Brad Marston², Jeffrey S. Oishi^{2,3}, Steven M. Tobias⁴

Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK
Department of Physics, Box 1843, Brown University, Providence, RI, 02912-1843, USA

(3) on leave from Department of Physics & Astronomy, Bates College, Lewiston, ME 04240, USA

(4) Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

We model rotating Rayleigh-Bénard convection in 3D direct numerical simulations of a periodic box with Boussinesq perturbations, subject to inclined global rotation. Vertical boundary temperatures are fixed locally, with a linear meridional gradient (T_y) . We vary T_y and convective driving. Emergent flows can include thermal winds, anisotropic turbulence, and giant vortices. Fully nonlinear (NL) runs provide benchmarks for testing quasilinear (QL) and general quasilinear (GQL) approximate dynamics. In horizontal planes, each variable (q) splits into a Fourier "low" background (q_L) at $|k_x|, |k_y| < \Lambda$, and a "high" variable for fluctuations (q_H) . Varying the GQL cutoff $(\Lambda = \infty, 10, 5, 1, 0)$ selectively impairs spectral power transfers, affecting flow morphologies, entropy, variability, local and global statistics. Versions of GQL usually improve upon the accuracy of QL (Λ =0), but low- Λ can produce spurious inverse cascades. Higher Λ improves realism more readily for models with stronger thermal winds. GQL succeeds generally even in peculiar cases that never settle to one unimodal steady state. Accuracy depends on the directness of boundary conditions, simple vertical profiles, and raising Λ to enclose the most active Fourier modes. However, spatial anisotropies are Λ -sensitive in all our tests.

GQL approximated dynamics

- Generalised quasilinear simulations (see Marston+2016; Child+2016; Hernández+2022; Oishi & Baxter 2023)
- Divide the horizontal (k_x, k_y) Fourier space by a square at chosen cutoff Λ .



Model: thermal convection + rotation

- Boussinesq 3D thermal convection + global rotation tilted at latitude ϕ =45° (Hathaway & Somerville 1986; Currie 2014)
- Box domain 5:5:1, periodic in *x*,*y*.
- Free-slip, fixed-T boundaries.
- $\Re a$ = Rayleigh, convective driving: 4×10⁴ to 2×10⁵
- T_y = meridional thermal gradient: 0, -0.5, -2
- Basic state background:
 - temperature linear in y and z
 - U zonal velocity linear in z
- **Dedalus** pseudospectral simulations ran at 64×64×64 to 128×128×128 resolution. (Burns+ 2020; https://dedalus-project.org/)

Flow morphology

- **Fully nonlinear** (NL, $\Lambda = \infty$) reference simulations:
- \succ $T_y = 0$: weak thermal wind; convection without preferred horizontal direction;





WARWICK

THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

 $\Re a = \alpha g T_z L_z^4 / \nu \kappa$

- Decompose all variables $q=q_L+q_H$ into:
 - low modes (L) inside square Λ ;
 - high modes (H) outside square Λ .
- Λ=0 is "QL" quasilinear e.g. Malkus 1954.
- Linear terms *L* decompose directly.
- Only some *Q* nonlinear interactions can remain in each of the split PDEs. Protects relevant conservation laws.

 $\partial_t \mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{L}} = \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{L}}] + \mathcal{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{L}}[\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{L}},\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{L}}] + \mathcal{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{L}}[\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}},\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}}] \;,$ $\partial_t \mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}} = \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}}] + \mathcal{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}}[\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{L}},\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}}] + \mathcal{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}}[\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{H}},\mathbf{q}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{L}}] \;,$



- QL models overestimate heat transfer (\mathcal{N}) and kinetic energy (E).
- Raising the **GQL cutoff** *A* improves the (\mathcal{N}, E) distributions.
- Realism improves faster given a stronger thermal wind (steeper $|\tilde{T_v}|$; Saxton+2023).

SCATTER-PLOT KEY: $\Lambda=0$ (QL), $\Lambda=1$ (minimal GQL), $\Lambda=5$ (mid GQL), $\Lambda=10$ (high GQL), $\Lambda=\infty$ (nonlinear, NL)







boundary Nusselt $\langle \mathcal{N} \rangle_{m}$



Temporal properties

- E.g. power spectra of kinetic energy variability....
- QL (Λ =0) behaves strangely, but this is unsurprising given its locked patterns.
- Break at rotation frequency $f_{\circ} = \Omega/2\pi \approx 25$.
- White noise at $f < f_{\circ}$; red noise at $f > f_{\circ}$.
- Six cases have a **QPO** spike?
- Cyclic **bursting** and gyrating wind for the extreme case $(\mathcal{R}_a, T_y) = (2e5, -2) \star$

 \succ T_y = -0.5: thermal wind, zonal jets; one giant vortex (if Ra is low enough);



A model where a giant vortex emerges.

Red/blue is pressure; arrows are velocities.

- **Quasilinear** (QL, $\Lambda=0$): unrealistic frozen patterns if $T_y=0$; zonal banding if $T_y=-2$.
- GQL at low A: live flows; overdo inverse cascades to giant vortices / zonal flows.
- **GQL** at high $\Lambda \ge 5$: more accurate flow patterns; better for big $|T_y|$ and steep profiles!





Snapshot horizontal sections of pressure and velocity; T_y =-2 strong thermal wind; at various (Λ , \mathcal{R}_a)

Spatial entropy

- Spatial entropy measures disorder in the Fourier power distribution (e.g. Powell & Percival 1979).
- *H* low for ordered patterns; *H* high for turbulence.
- Differs among velocity components *u*, *v*, *w*.
- $\Lambda=0$ QL and $\Lambda=1$ low GQL are too orderly by >3 σ .
- High GQL (Λ =5 and 10) are consistent with disorder or self-organisation in NL reality.



$T_{y} = -0.5$ $T_y = 0$ $T_{y} = -0.5$ $T_{y} = -2$ $T_{y} = -0.5$ $T_y = 0$ H



Spatial anisotropy

• Pick ellipsoidal contours in (k_x, k_y) plane \rightarrow axis ratio: 0 elongated 1 round distribution.

Time spectra are **mostly unaffected** by GQL. frequency f

Transfer functions

Mask the velocities u(x,y,z) at wavenumber shells K \rightarrow **U**_K(*X*,*Y*,*Z*) maps (e.g. methods of Alexakis+2005, Favier+2014)

 $\mathbf{K} \equiv \left\{ (k_x, k_y) : K < \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} \le K + 1 \right\}$

Infer kinetic **power transfer** rate from shells *Q* to *K*: $\mathcal{T}(Q,K) \equiv -\iiint \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y \,\mathrm{d}z \,\mathbf{u}_{K} \,(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{Q}$

- NL ($\Lambda = \infty$): direct cascades (+/-) at high k; some inverse cascades (-/+) at low k.
- GQL $\Lambda \sim 5,10$ limits the active spectral zone; else OK.
- Minimal GQL (Λ =1): strong inverse cascades at $k\approx$ 1.
- QL (Λ =0): impaired transfers; few modes active.





Acknowledgements: This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. D5S-DLV-786780). We acknowledge the use of ARC supercomputer facilities at the University of Leeds. This work has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System. CJS thanks Anna Guseva for her months spent preserving European Research Council the data presented here.

- $T_y = 0$ $T_y = -0.5$ $T_{y} = -2$ $T_{y} = -0.5$

- Rayleigh number \mathcal{R}_{α}
- Some variables are more anisotropic than others.
- $\Lambda=0$ QL and $\Lambda=1$ low GQL are too anisotropic.
- High GQL (Λ =5 and 10) can clip spectra and reduce anisotropy, even in cases where other global statistics are accurate!

Summary

- **GQL** splits **fluctuations** from **background evolution** \Rightarrow **probe interscale physics**.
- GQL in spatial domain hardly affects temporal properties.
- **Minimal GQL** (Λ =1) greatly surpasses the realism of quasilinear models (Λ =0).
- Low A over-promotes inverse cascades to giant vortices and zonal flows.
- High $\Lambda \ge 5$ fixes heat transfer rate and other global statistics. \checkmark
- Large $|T_{y}|$, strong shear profile, enables greater accuracy in GQL. \checkmark
- Beware that GQL can **isotropise** the system erroneously (even at Λ =10).
- FUTURE WORK: more entropy analyses? magnetic fields?

REFERENCES: Alexakis+ (2005) PRE 72, 046301 • Burns+ (2020) Phys.Rev.Research 2, 023068 • Child+ (2016) JPP 82, 905820302 • Currie (2014) PhD thesis, U.Leeds • Favier+ (2014) PhFl 26, 096605 • Hathaway & Somerville (1986) JFM 164, 91 • Hernández+ (2022) JFM 936, A33 • Malkus (1954) RSPSA 225, 196 • Marston+ (2016) PRL 116, 214501 • Oishi & Baxter (2023) RSPTA 381, 20220122 • Powell & Percival (1979) JPhA, 12, 2053 • Saxton+ (2023) arXiv:2307.03781

Funded by the European Union